Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

The Use Google Classroom During Pandemic, In Teaching Oral Descriptive Text of SMA Negeri 1 Peusangan Selatan

Khairul Mubarak¹, Iswadi²

¹⁻² Almuslim University Email: ¹khairulmubarraq88@gmail.com, ²iswaditajmora@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The researcher raised the problems of this research, namely: the first, How does the students improve their Oral Descriptive text through Google Classroom? And the second, How do students respond after using Google Classroom in Teaching Oral Descriptive text to the student at SMA 1 Peusangan Selatan?. The purpose of this study is to know the students improve their Oral Descriptive text through Google Classroom and to know the students respond after using Google Classroom in Teaching Oral Descriptive text to the student at SMA 1 Peusangan Selatan. The method used in this research is Collaborative Classroom Action Research. The researcher collaborated with teacher at SMA Negeri 1 Peusangan Selatan. The instruments used in this research are test, observation checklist and questionnaire. Based on the result of the data analysis, it can be found that, the mean score of the students test in oral descriptive text in first cycle was 36.55, in the second cycle was 67.75. based on the teaching and learning process the mean score of students' observations in first cycle was 40.7 while in the second cycle was 82.1. the mean score of teacher observations in teaching oral descriptive text by using google classroom in first cycle was 56 while in the second cycle was 88. The students' response to the application of the Google Classsroom in teaching oral descriptive text got an mean score of 87.11 or 3.33 (Strongly Agree). These results indicate that the use of google classroom in teaching oral descriptive text its good results and a satisfactory response. Thus this research is said to be successful.

Key Word : Oral Descriptive Text, Google Classroom, Collaborative Classroom Action Research

INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Nature of Speaking

Speaking is the act of verbally expressing ideas and emotions. It is possible to directly and experimentally witness speaking as a productive talent, albeit the correctness and fluency of speech always influence these observations. Speaking is the ability to articulate sounds in a way that communicates ideas, opinions, and wishes to another person.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page : 1-16

1.2. Aspect of Speaking Skill

The following are the speaking characteristics:

1. Grammar

Grammar is a process of compiling words into good sentences based on phrase structures

that can make it easier for students to master them. In improving speaking the use of

correct grammar will affect the response received by others.

2. Pronunciation

In speaking skills, pronunciation is an important point. Students must be able to grasp the

appropriate and clear pronunciation rules so that the true meaning that they want to

convey does not change. In addition, the intonation used must be soft and easy to

understand. Fluency.

3. Fluency

Fluency in speaking has a close relationship with pronunciation. The speaker is said to be

fluent when the speaker can speak automatically, clearly, and easily understood. In testing

fluency, students can practice continuously by doing dialogues or giving speeches

4. Content

Content is the main idea to be conveyed in speaking. Therefore, in conducting a

conversation, students must be able to choose the right sentence to convey their intent

orally so that the listener is able to understand the meaning conveyed and then be able to

provide a clear response.

1.3. Kinds of Speaking Active

In addition, [1] suggests additional speaking-related exercises to help children develop

their oral language skills namely is:

1. Information Gap Activities

Students must cooperate to gather a variety of pertinent facts for this task, and then they

must deliver information based on the information they have gathered.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

2. Brainstorming

Students must be able to generate ideas according to the time allotted based on the

context and topic given by the teacher. This activity is very effective in increasing the

fluency for each student because the ideas they put out cannot be criticized so that

students can share their ideas freely.

3. Storytelling

Through story telling students can grow their creativity and self-confidence. Because here

students can express their ideas according to the sequential format in storytelling starting

from the initial stage, the development stage, and the final stage in a story. In telling stories

students can also summarize a fairy tale that they made and then told it to the general

public.

4. Picture describing

In this activity, the teacher provides photographs to the class for them to describe in

speaking exercises. The teacher will provide the pupils with various photographs to work on

individually or in groups during this activity. One group member who acts as a spokesperson

is instructed to be able to explain the picture given in front of the class. The benefit of this

activity is to be able to grow students' imagination and creativity in public speaking.

1.4. Teaching Oral Descriptive Text

Oral teaching is involves a procces of ultilizing thingking, knowledge and skill in order to

speak and listen effectively. As such, it is central to the all of people. A descriptive text gives

specific details about a person, place, or object. This means that descriptive prose can be used

to explain the characteristics of a certain person, location, or thing, or to describe how they

seem.

In such a situation, the researcher should be aware of how to meet the needs and assist

the pupils in developing their speaking abilities to describe objects. One method that students

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

use to present their ideas is through oral description. An oral description is a presentation in

which students demonstrate and describe in-depth a certain subject. For instance, hue,

dimension, form, composition, and numerous other factors.

1.5. Google Classroom

Google Classroom as their learning platform to enhance the oral description text. One of

the tools provided by Google for educational activities in the classroom is Google Classroom.

One such application that is cost-free and has rapidly grown in popularity is Google Classroom.

The study's primary goal is to gauge teachers' opinions of Google Classroom's usefulness.

google classroom is a platform that has features that make it easier for teachers and students

to carry out the teaching and learning process and google classroom is a feature that is

accessed for teaching and learning that facilitates long distance and close distance meetings

and can save time in learning.

1.6. Fuctions of Google Classroom

Google Classroom has a lot of functions, but the general features mentioned in include

some of the following[2]:

2. Posting and Sharing

Teachers can submit files for students, connections to relevant class websites, teaching

resources, and other things. This is a very effective method for students to receive class

materials, and it is one of Google Classroom's most useful features.

3. Assignment

Assignment creation, distribution, and collection may be done quickly and easily by

teachers and students.

4. Grading

Teachers are able to add private feedback remarks and any point value to student

assignments.

5. Question

Teachers can give any point value to a student's assignment and include personal feedback

comments.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

6. Calendar

For the purpose of reminding students and teachers about due dates, Google Classroom

automatically generates an assignment calendar.

RESEACRH METHODELOGY

In this research, the researcher applied a Collaborative Classroom Action Research

(CCAR) as a Method. The researcher applied that method to describe the students perception

on the use of Google Classroom in language teaching. In this study, the researcher will apply

classroom action research (CAR), a model created by Kemmis and McTaggart that consists of

four steps: planning, acting, observing, and reflecting, to reflect on the activity that arose and

happened in class[3]. The problem in this research is improved by the cycle series.

The setting of the research is The second-grade students at SMA Negeri 1 Peusangan

Selatan are the research subjects, and the study's location is that school. The class is made up

of 25 students. Their levels of intelligence and English proficiency vary. Every cycle, each of

them will take part in the conversation. They will receive a grade based on how well they

speak.

Planning, action, observation, and reflection are the four processes that make up one cycle of

classroom action research, according to Kemmis and Taggart. The next phase describes the

activities: preliminary research, problem-solving, action planning, action implementation,

observation, data analysis, and reflection. The following, which is an adaptation of the Kemmis

and Taggart Action Research model, shows the process for putting classroom action research

into practice.

1. Preliminary study

One of the measures that researcher take to identify issues with both students and teacher

in the teaching and learning process is a preliminary study. These issues include the use of

media, ineffective instructional methodologies or techniques, and more.

2. finding problems

After the researcher conducted a preliminary study, the researcher then analyzed finding

the problems.

3. Planning the Action

The researcher formulates some ideas to put into action in order to address the intriguing

challenge discovered in the class after having identified the problems. The lesson design,

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

instructional materials, research tools, success criteria, scoring rubric to evaluate the students' oral descriptive texts, and field notes were all prepared before the teaching-

learning activities.

4. Implementing the action

The acting was the implementation of the planning made by the researcher. The lesson plan was implemented by presenting the prepared content to the pupils in the classroom. Using a field note, a camera to capture a photo as a document, and an observation checklist, the collaborator recorded their observations of the teaching-learning process.

The lesson plan's steps were implemented by the teacher and researcher simultaneously.

5. Observation

In this activity, the researcher would act as the teacher to teach, and the teacher would like to act as the observer. The observation would do by using the observation checklist.

6. Reflection

In this phase, the researcher viewed the findings from earlier phases as a critical appraisal for future planning, subsequent action, and moving fast through a number of cycles. If the pupils are motivated, the researcher determines that the impact of the action has met the criteria for success. The researcher and her collaborator discussed whether the activities conducted improved students' skills in speaking, including they can describe themselves in English. The observation results in the observation checklist, field notes, test, and teaching and learning process.

In classroom action research, the success criteria are established as the foundation for judging whether a cycle was successful or unsuccessful. The following criteria must be met for the study area to be successful:

 The students achieve a 65% or good level on the post-test for the improved oral descriptive text.

2. The researcher has a successful record of learning and teaching. Researchers receive a performance bonus of roughly 70%.

 The replies from the students to the Google Classroom learning resources to enhance their oral descriptive text. A good level or 3.01 to 5.00 on the questionnaire is the average score.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

Accurate data must be gathered, measured, and analyzed from a variety of pertinent

sources in order to solve research puzzles and predict future trends and possibilities. The

method for gathering data is as follows:

1. Observation checklist

The research observation checklist is intended for the observer. The SMA Negeri 1

Peusangan Selatan English teacher and students are the research observer.

2. Questionaire

The researcher administered a questionnaire to fill in the information students could not

get from the observation checklist in this research. The questionnaire elicits thorough

information from the students during the teaching and learning.

3. Tets

By using Google Classroom as a learning tool, students will be put to the test to

determine their level of proficiency using oral descriptive text.

Data analysis is the final part of conducting research. To get the average student score,

the researcher used quantitative data that was then examined using descriptive statistics. It

denotes that formulas were used to analyze the data collected for this study. Additionally, the

researcher in this study incorporated qualitative data gathered via observation checklists, field

notes, and questionnaires about student attitudes and motivation during the teaching and

learning process.

[4] Gives the following formula below:

 $\mathbf{X} = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$

Where:

χ = Mean score

 Σfx = Total score of all students

N = Number of students

The scale of students' test was prepared by researcher

1. An exam was considered excellent if the average score of the students was between

76 and 100.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

2. An exam was considered good if the pupils' mean score ranged from 50 to 75.

3. If the pupils' test results ranged from 26 to 45, they were classified as fair.

4. If the pupils' test had a mean score between 0 and 25, that score was considered poor.

If the average score of the students was 75 or more, in this research, the mean that the students belong to categorized success.

The percentage of questionnaires towards that score can be seen as follows[5].

Level 4:3,0-3,5 = Strongly agree

Level 3: 2,5 - 2,0 = Agree

Level 2: 2,0-2,5 = Disagree

Level 1:0-2,0 = Strongly disagree

Meanwhile, to see the student's score in improve oral descriptive text, the researcher uses procedure qualitative data with an analytic rubric score[6].

Table 2.1 Scoring Rubric of Speaking

| Components | | Score | Scale and Descriptor | | |
|-------------|---|-------|---|--|--|
| of Speaking | | | · | | |
| | | 0-6 | Error in grammar Are frequent, but spaker can be | | |
| | 1 | | understood by a native speaker used to dealing | | |
| | | | with foreigners attempting to speak his language. | | |
| | | | Can usually handle elementary constructions | | |
| | 2 | 7-12 | quite accurately but does not have through or | | |
| | | | confident conversation. | | |
| C.12.11.21 | 3 | 13-18 | Control of grammar is good. Able to speak the | | |
| Grammar | | | language with sufficient structural accuracy to | | |
| | | | participate effectively in most formal and | | |
| | | | informal conversation. | | |
| | 4 | 19-24 | Able to use the language accurately on all levels | | |
| | | | normally pertinent to provrsional need. Error in | | |
| | | | grammar are quite rare. | | |
| | 5 | 25-30 | Equivalent to that an educated native speaker. | | |
| Vocabulani | 1 | 0-4 | Speaking vocabulary inadequate to express | | |
| | | | anything but the most elementary needs. | | |
| Vocabulary | 2 | 5-8 | Has speaking vocabulary sufficient to express | | |
| | | | himself simply with some circumlocutions. | | |

Journal of English Education and Social Science (JEESS) ISSN: 2776-1436 (E) 2775-6912 (P) Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page : 1-16

| | 3 | 9-12 | Able to speak the language with sufficient vocabulary to participate effectively in most formal and informal conversation on practical, social, and professional topics. | | | | |
|--------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 4 | 13-16 | Can understand and participate in any conversation within the range of his experience with a high degree of precision of vocabulary. | | | | |
| | 5 | 12-20 | Speech on levels is fully accepted by educated native speakers in all its features, including breadth of vocabulary and idion, colloquialisms. | | | | |
| | 1 | 0-4 | Within the scope of his very limited language experience, can understand simple questions and statements if delivered with slowed speech, repetition, or paraphrase. | | | | |
| Comprehensio | 2 | 5-8 | Can get the gist of most conversations of non technical subject. | | | | |
| n | 3 | 9-12 | Comprehension is quite complete at a normal rate of speech. | | | | |
| | 4 | 13-15 | Can understand any conversation within the range of his experience. | | | | |
| | 5 | 16-20 | Equivalent to that of an educated native speaker. | | | | |
| | 1 | 0-2 | No specific fluency description. Refer to other four language areas for implied level of fluency. | | | | |
| | 2 | 3-4 | Can handle with confidence but not with facility most social situation, including introductions and casual conversation about current even. | | | | |
| Fluency | 3 | 5-6 | Can discuss particular interest of competence with reasonable ease, rarely has to grope for word. | | | | |
| | 4 | 7-8 | Able to use the language fluently on all levels normally pertinent to professional needs. Can participate in any conversation within the range of the experience. | | | | |
| | 5 | Has complete fluency in the language such his speech is fully accepted by educated speaker. | | | | | |
| | 1 | 0-4 | Error in pronunciation are frequent, but can be understood by a native speaker. | | | | |
| Accont | 2 | 5-8 | Accent is intelligible though often quite faulty. | | | | |
| Accent | 3 | 9-12 | Error never interfere with understanding and rarely disturb the native speaker. Accent may be obviously foreign. | | | | |
| | 3 | 3-12 | obviously foreign. | | | | |

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

| 4 | 13-16 | Error in pronunciation are quite rare |
|---|-------|---|
| Е | 17-20 | Equivalent to and fully accepted byy educated |
| 3 | 17-20 | native speaker. |

RESEACRH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this chapter, the researcher would discuss the presentation of data and research results in solving students' problems in learning oral descriptive texts in English lessons. The researcher conducted this research in first grade at SMA Negeri 1 Peusangan Selatan. As explained in the previous chapter, to make it easier for the researcher to improve students' abilities in learning oral descriptive texts, the researcher used Google Classroom as a learning medium.

In the first cycle, the teacher saw and measured the results of the average student score based on the test given by the researcher to students to improve students' abilities in oral descriptive texts through the use of Google Classroom media as a student learning resource.

Table 3.1 the result of the test in cycle 1

| No | Students Name | Gramm ar | Vocab | Compre | Fluency | Acce nt | Total |
|----|------------------|-------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|-------|
| 1 | Fazilaturrahmi | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 30 |
| 2 | Ilham Maulidin | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 31 |
| 3 | Irfan Maulana | 12 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 40 |
| 4 | Karmilatul Zahra | 15 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 49 |
| 5 | Khairiyani | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 30 |
| 6 | Khairunnisak | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 45 |
| 7 | Munawar | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 32 |
| 8 | Nabila Syakira | 6 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 32 |
| 9 | Nasrul Mukminin | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 30 |
| 10 | Nurul Ahya | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 42 |
| 11 | Nurlena | 11 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 35 |
| 12 | Raujatul Jannah | 10 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 40 |
| 13 | Reyhan Maulana | 15 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 50 |
| 14 | Saifannur Ridha | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 30 |
| 15 | Sahal Al-Asyi | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 32 |
| 16 | Syahrul Ramadhan | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 30 |
| 17 | Yusniar | 12 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 42 |
| 18 | Saqia Zamira | 15 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 50 |
| 19 | Zia Fitri | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 30 |
| 20 | Yuramadini | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 31 |
| | Total Score | 731 | | | | | |
| | Mean Score | 36,55 | | | | | |

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

As for calculating the mean score of students in determining the success or failure of

research in cycle one, the researcher uses the following formula:

$$X = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$$

Where: X = Mean Score

 Σfx = Total Score of the Students

N = The number of Sample

In the following, The researcher reveals the findings from the first cycle of research is

average student score:

$$X = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$$

$$X = \frac{731}{20}$$

$$X = 36,55$$

It is clear from the exam results above that students typically scored 36.55 on the oral description text test. The average number demonstrates that the first study cycle did not meet the success requirements. As a result, the researcher must conduct this study again in the second cycle to obtain the desired results.

In the first cycle, the researcher performance data during the learning process in implementing Google Classroom was collected in a checklist observation sheet. The observation sheet contains how researcher could improve students' skills in increasing learning of oral descriptive texts. Not only that, but the observation sheet also contains the performance of the researcher teaching during the implementation of the action, which could be analyzed based on the researcher activity, and the way the researcher provides motivation and self-confidence.

Based on the observation sheet, For the researcher use of Google Classroom as a learning tool to enhance students' proficiency with oral descriptive texts, a score was achieved in the first meeting, 51%, and at the second meeting, 62%. The average percentage gained from the researcher's performance in cycle one of the learning process was 56%. In contrast,

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

this percentage was deemed satisfactory, and it fell short of the required level of 70% for

completeness.

Based on the findings from the student checklist observation sheet, the researcher

concluded that the student's ability to carry out descriptive texts orally was still lacking in this

because students did not have a lot of vocabulary for expressing descriptive texts orally

properly and precisely. In addition, the intonation and grammar that students use still have

many deficiencies, so the words composed by students when describing orally are still ordinary

and unclear. Another problem the researcher found was that students were still shy about

expressing what they wanted to describe, so a lot of time was wasted because researchers

forced these students to express what they wanted to describe.

Thus, it can be seen that the results at cycle one's first meeting were 37.14%, while the

results at cycle one's second meeting were 44.29%, with the average result at the two

meetings being 40.7%. According to the average outcomes, the success criteria are still not

about 65% (good) complete. As a result, the researcher decided to carry out this study in a

second cycle.

Based on the results above shows that students have not fully mastered oral description

text, so the implementation in cycle one has not been categorized as not successful. As a

result, the researcher needs to develop a fresh strategy for the second-graders at SMA Negeri

1 Peusangan Selatan. To establish a friendly classroom environment, the researcher must

improve the plan, thoroughly explain the subject and use of Google Classroom media to the

students, and encourage student participation. The researcher must do these steps to obtain

the best results following predefined success criteria before moving on to the following study

cycle.

tests in cycle two were administered to second-grade students at SMA Negeri 1

Peusangan Selatan to determine the mean score of students in the teaching and learning

process. To find out the mean score obtained by students in mastering oral description texts

and whether the implementation was successful or not, the researcher used the formula[4]:

 $X = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$

Where: X = Mean Score

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

 $\sum fx$ = Total Score of the Students

N = The number of Sample

So the researcher describe the results as follows:

$$X = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$$

$$X = \frac{1355}{20}$$

$$X = 67,75$$

Table 3.2 the result of the test in cycle 2

| No | Students Name | Gramm ar | Vocab | Compre | Fluency | Acce nt | Total |
|----|------------------|-------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|-------|
| 1 | Fazilaturrahmi | 20 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 15 | 75 |
| 2 | Ilham Maulidin | 15 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 70 |
| 3 | Irfan Maulana | 15 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 75 |
| 4 | Karmilatul Zahra | 20 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 70 |
| 5 | Khairiyani | 18 | 16 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 65 |
| 6 | Khairunnisak | 18 | 16 | 15 | 6 | 20 | 75 |
| 7 | Munawar | 13 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 60 |
| 8 | Nabila Syakira | 15 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 65 |
| 9 | Nasrul Mukminin | 15 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 65 |
| 10 | Nurul Ahya | 18 | 12 | 15 | 8 | 17 | 70 |
| 11 | Nurlena | 15 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 60 |
| 12 | Raujatul Jannah | 15 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 70 |
| 13 | Reyhan Maulana | 20 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 80 |
| 14 | Saifannur Ridha | 13 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 60 |
| 15 | Sahal Al-Asyi | 13 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 60 |
| 16 | Syahrul Ramadhan | 15 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 60 |
| 17 | Yusniar | 15 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 70 |
| 18 | Saqia Zamira | 24 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 80 |
| 19 | Zia Fitri | 12 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 60 |
| 20 | Yuramadini | 18 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 13 | 65 |
| | Total Score | 1355 | | | | | |
| | Mean Score | 67,75 | | | | | |

Researcher performance data during the application of Google Classroom as a medium for teaching oral descriptive texts were collected in a checklist observation sheet. The researcher observations included improving students' ability to master oral descriptive texts using Google Classroom as a learning medium.

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

As in the previous cycle, the researcher can explain to students without explaining in

detail about using Google Classroom. The researcher only invites students to do oral

description texts independently based on the examples presented by the researcher in Google

Classroom. So far, students had understood very well in the learning process. Meanwhile,

collaborator teachers also collect researcher performance scores in learning. Results from the

first and second meetings in the second cycle were 86% and 89%, respectively. In cycle two the

average score percentage was 88%. or the "excellent" category, and had achieved the success

criteria, so the researcher performance in using google classroom as a medium in increasing

students' mastery of oral description texts was stated as success in this cycle.

The researcher analyzed students' ability to master oral descriptive texts using Google

Classroom as a medium using student observation sheets. Researcher have seen a lot of

progress from students both in the application of media and in the ability of students to

master oral description texts.

The researcher received a score based on student observations during the first

meeting, namely 80% and 84.29% at the second meeting. The researcher received an average

score of 82.1% in the "excellent" category based on the first and second sessions. The

researcher concluded that the student's proficiency with oral descriptive texts utilizing Google

Classroom media had greatly improved and had met the completeness criterion based on the

average she had earned. With this, the researcher stopped conducting cycle two research and

did not continue to the next cycle.

The data collection questionnaire contains questions that lead to knowing student

perceptions in implementing Google Classroom. In this questionnaire, The researcher provided

a 10-question survey. The students will cross out the answer choices (a, b, c, and d). The

researcher's four selections were strongly agree, agree, disagree, and strongly disagree using

Google Classroom as a media incorporated into the teaching and learning process.

Table 3.3 the percentage of the Students' Response toward the implementation Google

Classroom to Improved Oral Descriptive Text

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

| No | Variable Meansured | Questionaires | Total Score | Mean |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| No | variable Meansured | Number | iotai score | Score |
| 1 | The pupils were delighted and | | | |
| | improved their oral descriptive | 2,5,7,9 | 266 | 3.3 |
| | text. | | | |
| 2 | Google Classroom offers | | | |
| | additional advantages and makes | | | |
| | it simpler for pupils to | 4,9,10 | 194 | 3.4 |
| | comprehend spoken descriptive | | | |
| | text. | | | |
| 3 | The Students were engaged, | | . | |
| | motivated, and enthusiastic | 1260 | 266 | 2.2 |
| | about learning oral descriptive | 1,3,6,8 | 266 | 3.3 |
| | texts. | | | |
| | Total Score | | 726 | 10.0 |
| | Average | | 242 | 3.33 |

Based on the table, the total score of the questionnaire obtained by students was 726. The average student response to the use of Google Classroom as a medium in increasing learning of spoken descriptive texts was 3.33, included in the "Strongly Agree" criterion, meaning that students responded well to the use of the media.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Based on the research conducted, the researcher draws several essential conclusions in improving students' abilities in spoken descriptive texts through the use of google classroom learning media in first grade at SMA Negeri 1 Peusangan Selatan, and the conclusions are as follows:

Volume 4 No. 1 (2024)

Page: 1-16

1. The use of google classroom as a learning medium in improving students' ability in the oral descriptive text could increase significantly. it could be seen from the results of student tests in the first cycle to get an average score of 36.55; in the second cycle, it increased to 67.75. From the results of the research in the second cycle, it can be concluded that research using Google Classroom in improving students' abilities in oral

2. Based on the questionnaire findings, The percentage of improvement and student reactions to using Google Classroom material received an average score of 3.33 in the "strongly agree" category. Through these mediums, it was demonstrated that students are thrilled, highly driven, and interested in developing their knowledge of spoken

descriptive text.

description texts was declared successful.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] W. Wamnebo, H. Hanapi, R. Bugis, and N. Handayani, "Students' Speaking Skill in Oral Descriptive Text by Using Video at Tenth Grade in SMA Negeri 1 Namlea," *J. Jupiter*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 98–111, 2018, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325092111
- [2] S. L. M. Yona, "The Use of Voki Website in Teaching Speaking on Oral Descriptive Text For Junior High School Students," *J. English Lang. Teach.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 235–242, 2014.
- [3] R. Kemmis, S., & McTaggart, *The Action Research Planner*. Victoria: Deakin University Press, 1982.
- [4] S. Arikunto, *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 2018.
- [5] Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*, 0 ed. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2017.
- [6] Maulida, E. Susilawati, and E. Rosnija, "Improving students speaking skill through oral description practice," *J. Pendidik. dan Pembelajaran Untan*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2014.